Text Searchable Document

Adsorption MRID#42537802

DATA EVALUATION RECORD

CHEM 053201

STUDY 1 Methyl Bromide B. Th. OPP. N §163-1

FORMULATION--00--ACTIVE INGREDIENT

MRID: 00157128

Resnis, P., and E.M. Craine. 1986. An adsorption study with soil and methyl bromide. Research Report, Analytical 86:6; Project WIL 49002. Unpublished study prepared and submitted by Great Lakes Chemical Corporation, West Lafayette, IN, on behalf of the Methyl Bromide Industry Panel.

DIRECT REVIEW TIME = 16

REVIEWED BY:

E. Hirsh

TITLE:

Staff Scientist

EDITED BY:

K. Patten

TITLE:

Task Leader

APPROVED BY:

W. Spangler

TITLE:

Project Manager

ORG:

Dynamac Corporation

Rockville, MD

APPROVED BY:

Dana Spatz

TITLE:

Chemist

ORG:

EFGWB/EFED/OPP

SIGNATURE:

AUG | 5 | 1990

CONCLUSIONS:

Mobility - Leaching and Adsorption/Desorption

- 1. This study cannot be used to fulfill data requirements.
- 2. This study is unacceptable for the following reasons:
 - a. The soils were autoclaved before use, which may have altered the physical properties of the soils and thus influenced the observed behavior of the test substance.
 - b. The water added to the equilibration flasks did not contain CaCl₂. The water should have contained between 5-20 meq/L CaCl₂ as this concentration of Ca⁺⁺ is normally encountered in the field environment. The addition of deionized/distilled water to the soil may have caused some loss of soil-sorbed cations to the aqueous addition, which may have, in turn, affected the adsorption process.

- c. In the control flasks that contained methyl bromide-treated water but no soil, 1.3-25.6% of the applied methyl bromide was "lost" from the system after 24 hours and 1.7-29.4% was "lost" after 48 hours. This indicates an unacceptable amount of leakage and a poor material balance.
- d. No soil samples were analyzed after the adsorption phase of the study to determine whether, in fact, the material that was not in solution or in the air had been adsorbed by the soil. Adsorption was calculated from the measured concentrations of methyl bromide detected in the air and water samples. These calculations were made assuming that there was no loss from the flasks by volatilization, which was in fact, not the case as indicated by the control flasks.

Based on batch equilibrium experiments, methyl bromide (purity >99.5%), at approximately 9, 25, 44, 102, and 251 μ g/mL, was determined to be very mobile in sandy loam and sand soil:solution slurries (1:5 ratio) that were equilibrated at an unspecified temperature for 48 hours with stirring (Tables 8-12). After 48 hours of equilibration, the distribution of methyl bromide between the soil and solution phases was 1:0.77 to 1:1.4 in flasks treated at 9 μ g/mL, 1:1.7 to 1:3.1 in flasks treated at 25 and 44 μ g/mL, and 1:2.2 to 1:7.0 in flasks treated at 102 and 251 μ g/mL. At 48 hours, 30-69% of the applied methyl bromide remained in solution and 9-40% had either adsorbed to the soil or been lost.

METHODOLOGY:

Three sandy loam soils and one sand soil (Table 1) were air-dried, sieved (0.5 cm), homogenized, and autoclaved. Subsamples (50 g) of each soil were mixed with aliquots (250 mL) of sterile aqueous solutions containing methyl bromide (purity >99.5%, Linde) at approximately 9, 24, 44, 102, and 251 µg/mL. There was one control without soil for each test concentration. The sample flasks were sealed with plastic caps with a sampling hole covered by a teflon liner; the caps were designed so that air and water samples could be taken without opening the flasks. The soil:solution slurries were stirred magnetically for 48 hours at an unspecified temperature. At 24 and 48 hours posttreatment, the stirring was interrupted, the soil was allowed to settle, and air and water samples were taken for analysis. After the 48-hour sampling, the soil and solution phases were separated by centrifugation and the solution was removed from the flasks.

In order to determine desorption, the soil pellets from the adsorption portion of the study were mixed with 200 mL of pesticide-free water, and returned to the original flasks. The flasks were capped, and the soil:water slurries stirred magnetically for 48 hours. Air and water samples were collected at 24 and 48 hours as described above. After the 48-hour sampling, the soil and solution phases were separated by centrifugation, and the soil pellets collected for analysis.

Air samples were diluted with air and analyzed directly for methyl bromide using GC with electron capture detection. Water samples were diluted with acetonitrile and filtered prior to analysis for methyl bromide using GC. The soil samples were mixed with water and heated, and the distillate vapors containing methyl bromide were collected in cooled toluene. The toluene was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate prior to analysis by GC. Methyl bromide concentrations in the air, water, and soil were determined by comparison to standard curves developed using methyl bromide standards dissolved in air or toluene. Recovery efficiencies ranged from 79 to 89% from soil fortified with methyl bromide at 62 ppm.

DATA SUMMARY:

Based on batch equilibrium experiments, methyl bromide (purity >99.5%), at approximately 9, 25, 44, 102, and 251 µg/mL, was determined to be very mobile in sandy loam and sand soil:solution slurries (1:5 ratio) that were equilibrated at an unspecified temperature for 48 hours with stirring (Tables 8-12). The soils had been autoclaved before use. Adsorption of methyl bromide to the soils did not appear to be related to the clay content, organic matter content, or CEC. The distribution of methyl bromide between the soil, solution, and air phases did appear to be concentration-dependent; the proportion of the applied methyl bromide that had volatilized or been adsorbed by the soil (or lost from the system, since adsorption could not be distinguished from loss) decreased as the concentration in the initial solution increased. After 48 hours of equilibration, the distribution of methyl bromide between the soil and solution phases was 1:0.77 to 1:1.4 in flasks treated at 9 μg/mL, 1:1.7 to 1:3.1 in flasks treated at 25 and 44 μ g/mL, and 1:2.2 to 1:7.0 in flasks treated at 102 and 251 µg/mL. At 48 hours, 30-69% of the applied methyl bromide remained in solution and 9-40% had either adsorbed to the soil or been lost. After 48 hours of equilibration, volatilized methyl bromide was 40-51% of the applied in flasks treated at 9 μ g/mL and 22-34% in those treated at 25-251 μ g/mL.

Following a single 24-hour desorption in pesticide-free water, 89-96% of the soil-adsorbed methyl bromide had been desorbed (Tables 14-23). Between 3.4 and 12.8% of the applied methyl bromide remained adsorbed to the soil. At the end of the desorption portion of the experiment, the material balances ranged from 70 to 89% of the applied. In control flasks that contained methyl bromide-treated water but no soil, 1.3-23.6% of the applied methyl bromide was "lost" from the system after 24 hours and 1.7-29.4% was "lost" after 48 hours (Table 7).

COMMENTS:

- Desorption was determined from the 24- rather than 48-hour data because equilibrium had been established by 24 hours. At 48 hours, the concentration of methyl bromide was equal to or less than the 24-hour value. The study authors suggested that the decreases resulted from methyl bromide leaking from the sample flasks.
- 2. Much of the data were presented in term of "total μ g in the air, soil, or solution". The data were recalculated in terms of "% of the applied" by the reviewer: μ g of methyl bromide in the air, soil, or solution at 48 hours were divided by initial concentration of methyl bromide in solution.
- 3. Freundlich K_{ads} and K_{des} values were not calculated.
- 4. The temperature at which the study was conducted was not reported.
- 5. The soils described by the study authors as Canfield, Holly, and Wooster silt loam soils are sandy loam soils according to the USDA Soil Textural Classification System. The soils are described as sandy loams in this report.

TABLES/FIGURES

Table 1. The characterics of the four soils used in the study. All soils were obtained in Ohio. The Canfield collection was taken from an oren field on the WIL Research facility. The Holly collection was taken near the Jerome Fork of the Mohican River. The Mooster soil was taken from a woodlot near Havesville.

Adricultural Sand	Wooster	H011Y	Canfield		Soil
Sand	Silt loan	Silt loam	Silt loan		Soil type
0.0	2.4	1.6	2.0	8	Moisture
100.0	59.0	69.0	56.0	-	
•	34.0	28.5	36.0	(2)	Soil Composition Sand Silt Clay
•	7.0	2.5	8.0	82	ition
0.3	7.2	7.4	G.	(%)	Organic content

WIL Analytical Research Report 8616, Table 1, rase 11

Table 7. The distribution of methyl bromide in the control flasks where soil was not added.

Experiment Number	Time	Amount of MBr at zero time	Amount of MBr in the air	Amount of MBr in the water	Apparent from the	loss of MBr flask
4	(hr)	(us)	(us)	(us)	(u±)	(%)
1	24	2265	627	1106		
	٠		648	1081	534	23.6
	48	2265	719	914		
			706	859	666	29.4
	72	2265	775	898		
			732	898	614	27.1
	96	2265	613	1138		
			573	1111	548	24.2
2	24	6200	2310	3681		
			2310	3681	209	3.4
	48	6200	1477	3302		
2			1422	3362	1418	22.9
	72	6200	1164	3451		
			1190	3641	1477	23.8
	96	6200	1058	2604		
			1086	2495	2578	41.6

WIL Analytical Research Report 8616. Table 7. page 18

FILE 49002.5

Table 7, cont. The distribution of methyl bromide in the control flasks where soil was not added.

Experiment Number		Amount of MBr at zero time	Amount of MBr in the air	Amount of MBr	Apparent loss o from the flask	less of HBr flask
	(hr)	(H)	(us)	(ku)	(la)	(%)
ω	24	11100	3372	6705		
			3278	6785	1056	9.5
	8	11100	3413	5754		
			3413	5831	1894	17.1
	72	11100	2490	6875		
			2581	6629	1812	16.3
	%	1100	2535	6019		
			2579	6103	2482	22.4
	24	25620	7740	17201		
			7816	17815	33 <u>4</u>	1.3
	\$	25620	6414	18581		
			6490	18896	\$ 30	1.7
	72	25620	6071	18364		
			5995	18660	1075	4.2
	%	25620	5464	15903		
•			5540	16257	4 038	15.8

WIL Analytical Research Report 8616, Table 7, page 19

FILE 49002.5

Table 7 cont. The distribution of methyl bromide in the control flasks where soil was not added.

Experiment Number	Tine	Amount of MBr at zero time	Amount of MBr in the air	Amount of MBr in the water	Arrarent 1 from the f	
ngian ngan nasa nasa nasa nasa nasa nasa na	(hr)	(us)	(us)	(us)	(tu)	(%)
5	24	62750	17885	40630		
			18338	39961	4243	4.8
	48	62750	17163	36720		
			17378	33723	10258	16.3
	72	62750	15232	38457		4.
	16	02750	15662	39293	8428	13.4
	96	62750	18116	35747	8995	14.3
	, o	WA100	17901	35747	, = v • -	

FILE 49002.5

Table 8. The distribution of methyl bromide during the adsorption phase of experiment #1 where the concentration of HBr in the original solution was 9.06 ppm. The total amount of methyl bromide in each flask at time zero was 2.265 ug.

	26-XE		26-20		26-X		26-2B		Flask
	24	*	24	48	24	♣ :	24	(2 5)	l T
816 827	56 4 602	730	567 602	569 569	533 542	612	997 971	(Fn)	Amount of MBr in the air
826 826	1166 1215	660 694	960 968	92 <i>b</i> 738	838 887	991 916	1069	(bn)	Amount of MBr in the water
1648	1784	1391	1514	1351	1400	1575	2022	(Fn)	Total amount in air and water
617	481	874	751	914	865	69 0	243	(M)	Amount adsorbed on soil or lost#

*Original amount in the flask (2,265 us) minus the amount in the air and water at the time indicated.

WIL Analytical Research Report 8616, Table 8, rade 21

j.

FILE 49002.5

Table 9. The distribution of methyl bromide during the adsorption phase of experiment #2 where the concentration of HBr in the original solution was 24.80 ppm. The total amount of methyl bromide in each flask at time zero was 6.200 us.

Flask Number	Tine	Amount of MBr in the air	Amount of MBr in the water	Total amount in air and water	Amount adsorbed on soil or lost#
•	(hr)	(Lu)	(us)	(ku)	(us)
33-1B	24	2290	3332	5565	635
		2335	3173		
	48	1738	3362	5081	1119
		1760	3302		
33-1C	24	2313	3554	5618	582
		2290	3078		
	48	1509	2972	4481	1719
		1509	2972		
33-1D	24	2448	3681	8008	194
		2425	3459		
	48	1372	2732	4114	2086
		1303	2822		
33-1E	24	1774	3396	5219	981
		1841	3427		
	48	1280	3062	4361	1839
		1258	3122	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	••••

#Orisinal amount in the flask (6200 us) minus the amount in the air and water at the time indicated.

Table 10. The distribution of methyl bromide durins the adsorption phase of experiment #3 where the concentration of HBr in the original solution was 44.4 ppm. The total amount of methyl bromide in each flask at time zero was 11,100 us.

			59-3E	٠			59-30				59-3C				59-3B		Flask
	\$		24		\$		24		4 5		24		48		24	(hr.)	
2478	2478	2593	2630	2516	2478	2630	2741	2403	2365	2518	2518	2478	2591	2630	2741	(gu)	Amount of MBr in the air
6609	M53	7270	7432	6453	6064	6220	6139	5442	5442	5978	6301	6376	6298	6947	7351	(En)	Amount of MBr in the water
	9009		9962		8754		8845		7826	•	8658		8872		9834	(us)	Total amount of MBr in air and water
	2091		1138		2344		2235		3274		2442		2228		1266	(Fn)	Amount adsorbed on soil or loste

*Original amount in the flask (11-100 ug) minus the amount in the air and water at the time indicated.

FILE 49002.5

Table 11. The distribution of methyl bromide during the adsorption phase of experiment #4 where the concentration of MBr in the original solution was 102.48 ppm. The total amount of methyl bromide in each flask at time zero was 25.620 ug.

	£3-3€			63-3D				63-3C				63-3B		Flask
t 5	24	đ	5	24		€		24		8		24	(hr.)	Ti R
4921 4921	6091	5489	5344 5343	6218	5552	5678	6218	6154	5742	5848	6535	6598	(us)	Amount of MBr in the air
17322	17508	15747	15747	19351	18266	17322	19351	19351	17006	16062	19351	18737	(ust)	Amount of MBr in the water
21928	23752		21173	25478		23409		25537		22339		25610	(us)	Total amount of MBr in soil and water
3692	1868		4447	142		2211		83		3281		10	(ug)	Amount adsorbed on soil or lost#

wiriginal amount in the flask (25,620) minus the amount in the air and water at the time indicated.

WIL Analytical Research Report 86:6, Table II, rase 24

Table 12. The distribution of methyl bromide during the adsorption phase of experiment #5 where the concentration of HBr in the original solution was 251.0 ppm. The total amount of methyl bromide in each flask at time zero was 62,750 us.

	86-3E 2		86-30		8k-3C		86-38		Flask
* 8	24	€	24	*	24	4 8	24	(br.)	Tine
14350	15332	13991 14350	15142	19453	15142 15142	14764 12376 12197	14385	(FB)	Amount of MBr in the air
39718 37470	39092 39092	36486 36720 38969	37355	38219 36720	35417 37355	36486 33723 35222	33880	(us) Ce	Amount of MBr in the water
53302	54424	52015	52252	50922	51628	46759	49758	(ug)	Total amount in air and water
9448	8326	10735	10498	11828	11122	15991	12992	(mg)	Amount adsorbed on soil or lost*

*Orisinal amount in the flask (62,750) minus the amount in the air and water at the time indicated

WIL Analytical Research Report 8616, Table 12, page 25

Table 14. The distribution of methyl bromide during the desorption phase of experiment #1 where the concentration of MBr in the original solution was 9.06 ppm. The total amount of methyl bromide in each flask at the beginning of the adsorption phase (zero time) was 2265 ug.

	26-2E		26-2D		26-2C	97	26-28	Flask
£ 6	24	**************************************	24	t !	2	48 24	2 (br)	;
\$ 8	82	87 88 33	**	75 75 75	2	15+	(F)	Amount of MBr in the air
15%	15%	177 172 133	146	E E E	3	21## 21## 11##	(Fn.)	Amount of MBr in the water
238	252	215	254	213 197	•	36 26	(FM)	Total amount of MBr desorbed from soil*

#The total amount in the air and water was found to have a major leak

9

WIL Analytical Research Report 86:6, Table 14, page 27

FILE 49002.8

Table 15. The distribution of methyl bromide in the desorption phase of experiment #2 where the concentration of HBr in the original solution was 24.80 ppm. The total amount of methyl bromide in each flask at the beginning of the adsorption phase (zero time) was 6,200 ug.

	33-IE		33-10		33-10		33-1B		Number
å	; 24	*	24	₺	24	₺	24	(hr.)	I F
102	123	204 -	251 242	297 292	323	292	30 9	(m)	Amount of MBr in the air
197 208	170 158	324 347	376 413	463	516 516 516	498 509	546 570	(En)	Amount of MBr in the water
394	283	54 0	641	769	815	794	864	(kn)	Total amount of MBr desorbed from the soil#

*The total amount in the air and water

FILE 49002.8

adsorption phase (zero time) was 11100 us. Table 16. The distribution of methyl bromide in the desorption phase of experiment #3 where the concentration of HBr in the original solution was 44.4 ppm. The total amount of methyl bromide in each flask at the beginning of the

	59-3E		59-30		59-3C		59-3B	Flask
4 8	24	≵	24	₹	24	t	(hr)	Time
152	163 151	298 292	33 33 35	270 264	279	262 270 264	(u <u>s</u>) 262	Amount of MBr in the air
281	275	548 495	498 471	401	498	432 415 388	406 (us)	Amount of MBr
426	38	818	813	675	<i>m</i>	8 33	(M)	Total amount of MBr desorbed from the soil#

*The total amount in the air and water

adsorption phase (zero time) was 25620 us. Table 17. The distribution of methyl bromide in the desorption phase of experiment 44 where the concentration of MBr in the original solution was 102.48 ppm. The total amount of methyl bromide in each flask at the beginning of the

Flask	Time	Amount of MBr in the air	Amount of MBr in the water	desorbed from the soils
	(hr.)	(us)	(Bn)	(b)
A2-38	24	692	1096	1778
	!	673	1096	
	*	673	1237	1834
	;	663	1096	
දු දු දු	24	848	1303	2166
		848	1333	
	t	780	1343	2164
63-30	24	712	1096	1808
		682	1126	1725
	&	682 682	1096	
ધ-3£	24	292	563	88
	4 8	312	536 536	842

"The total amount in the air and water

WIL Analytical Research Report 8616, Table 17, rase 30

adsorption phase (zero time) was 62750 us. in the original solution was 251 PPm. The total amount of methyl bromide in each flask at the beginning of the Table 18. The distribution of methyl bromide in the desorption phase of experiment #5 where the concentration of HBr

	86-3E		84-3D		8K-3C		86-3B	Flask Number
48	24	.	24	48	24	&	(hr)	=
2243	2231	3347 3192 3149	3304	1030## 345## 345##	1073++	1764 1690 1718	(ud) 1847	Amount of MBr in the air
334 3432 3074	3210	6153 5290 5720	5751	1739## 1215## 1215##	2140##	3076 2860 2860	31.15 (Fn)	Amount of MBr in the water
54%	5616	8676	9278	1560	2991	4564	(60)	Total amount of MBr desorbed from the soils

#The total amount in the air and water ##Hask leaxed

Table 19. Summary of the desorption phase of experiment #1. The amount of MBr in the soil after 48 hrs of the desorption phase was measured directly. The total amount of MBr at the beginning of the desorption phase was calculated by the sum of the amount of MBr on the soil at 48 hrs and the amount of MBr desorbed in 24 hrs (Table 14).

Flask number	Total MBr on the soil measured directly at the end of desorption	Total MBr on the soil at the beginning of the desorption phase	The amount of MBr desorbed from the soil in 24 hrs	
***********	(us)	(ku)	(2)	
26-2B#	3.06 3.45	39*	92	
26-2C	24.10 27.35	238	89	
26-20	33.22 29.31	285	89	
26-2E	16.93 19.54	270	93	

*The flask was found to have a major leak.

WIL Analytical Research Report 86:6, Table 19, page 32

Table 20. Summary of the desorption phase of experiment #2. The amount of MBr in the soil after 48 hrs of the desorption phase was measured directly. The total amount of MBr at the beginning of the desorption phase was calculated by the sum of the amount of MBr on the soil at 48 hrs and the amount of MBr desorbed in 24 hrs (Table 15).

Flask number	Total MBr on the soil measured directly at the end of desorption	Total MBr on the soil at the beginning of the desorption phase	The amount of HBr desorbed from the soil in 24 hrs
	(La)	(na)	(X)
33-1B	43.82 41.00	906	95
33-1C	52.30 53.72	868	94
33-1D	52.30 52.30	693	92
33-1E	11.31 11.31	294	96

WIL Analytical Research Report 8616, Table 20, page 33

49002.9

Table 21. Summary of the desorption phase of experiment #3. The amount of MBr in the soil after 48 hrs of the desorption phase was measured directly. The total amount of MBr at the beginning of the desorption phase was calculated by the sum of the amount of MBr on the soil at 48 hrs and the amount of MBr desorbed in 24 hrs (Table 16).

59-3E	59-30	59-3C	59-3B		Flask
21.74 25.08	75.24 80.26	46.82 48.49	35.11 35.11	(ug)	Total MBr on the soil measured directly at the end of desorption
461	891	825	716	(lg)	Total MBr on the soil at the beginning of the desorption phase
*	21	*	3	(%)	The amount of MBr desorbed from the soil in 24 hrs

WIL Analytical Research Report 86:6, Table 21, rase 34

Table 22. Summary of the desorption phase of experiment #4. The amount of MBr in the soil after 48 hrs of the desorption phase was measured directly. The total amount of MBr at the beginning of the desorption phase was calculated by the sum of the amount of MBr on the soil at 48 hrs and the amount of MBr desorbed in 24 hrs (Table 17).

&3-3€	63-30	63-3C	63-3B		Flask number
46.44 53.08	172.50 159.23	172.50 165.87	112.79 119.42	(vs)	Total MBr on the soil measured directly at the end of desorption
890	1974	2335	1894	(En)	Total MBr on the soil at the beginning of the desorption phase
2	23	***************************************	92	(1)	The amount of MBr desorbed from the soil in 24 hrs

WIL Analytical Research Report 86:6, Table 22, page 35

1.

Table 23. Summary of the desorption phase of experiment #5. The amount of MBr in the soil after 48 hrs of the desorption phase was measured directly. The total amount of MBr at the beginning of the desorption phase was calculated by the sum of the amount of MBr on the soil at 48 hrs and the amount of MBr desorbed in 24 hrs (Table 18).

Flask number	Total MBr on the soil measured directly at the end of desorption	Total MBr on the soil at the beginning of the desorption phase	The amount of MBr desorbed from the soil in 24 hrs	
·	(na)	(tu)	(2)	
8 8-3 8	243.01 248.80	5161	95	
86-3C	92.58 92.58	3084	974	
86-3D	607.53 567.03	9865	94	
86-3E	237.23 219.87	5844	96	

*The flask was found to have a major leak

WIL Analytical Research Report 86:6, Table 23, page 36